UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,962	11/13/2006	Richard Joseph Fagan	C&R-106	6818
23557 7590 01/26/2009 SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION PO Box 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614			EXAMINER	
			SAOUD, CHRISTINE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/539,962	FAGAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Christine J. Saoud	1647		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ag  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 67-88 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 67,74-78 and 85-88 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 68-73, 79-84 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the oregin and the correction of the correction and the correction of the correction	vn from consideration.  relection requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/14/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 13 December 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims are linked by a special technical feature, specifically SEQ ID NO:8 and 10, wherein SEQ ID NO:10 is the mature form of SEQ ID NO:8. This is not found persuasive because the original claims were not so limited to a particular amino acid sequence, but rather included "a functional equivalent". Based on that limitation in claim 46, the technical feature of the independent invention was a human placental growth hormone, which was known in the art and therefore, did not constitute an advance over the prior art.

However, the newly submitted claims of 13 December 2007 are limited to a polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO:8 or 10, fragments thereof with activity and polypeptides that have greater than 85% identity to SEQ ID NO:8 or 10. Because SEQ ID NO:8 and 10 are related in that one sequence has a signal sequence component compared to the other, the search and examination of both embodiments would not be burdensome and the restriction requirement between the two molecules is withdrawn.

### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,962 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 14 May 2007 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and has been considered by the examiner.

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 67, 74-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cooke et al. (J. Biol. Chem. 263 (18): 9001-9006, 1988).

Cooke et al. teach a growth hormone molecule from the placenta which shares more than 85% amino acid identity with that of SEQ ID NO:8 and 10 of the instant claims. The molecule of Cooke et al. (hGH-V) is shown in Figure 3 and the protein was

Art Unit: 1647

isolated as evidenced by Figure 4. Therefore, Cooke et al. anticipates the instant claims.

Claims 67, 74-78 and 85-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 5,597,709 (Rosen et al.).

Rosen et al. teach a growth hormone molecule from the placenta which shares more than 85% amino acid identity with that of SEQ ID NO:8 and 10 of the instant claims. Rosen et al. teach the isolated protein as well as compositions of the protein with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (see column 9, lines 40-47) and Figure 2. Therefore, Rosen et al. anticipates the instant claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 78 and 85-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooke et al. (J. Biol. Chem. 263 (18): 9001-9006, 1988).

The disclosure of Cooke et al. is as described above. Cooke et al. does not teach compositions of hGH-V comprising the protein and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. However, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make a composition comprising the protein of Cooke et al. with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier for the purpose of studying the

Application/Control Number: 10/539,962

Art Unit: 1647

Page 5

functional activity of the protein of Cooke et al. Cooke et al. teach that the protein is a variant of growth hormone produced in the placenta, therefore, it is expected to be a protein that regulates growth. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to put the protein in a composition which comprises a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier to study the activity of the protein in vivo. Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, absent evidence to the contrary.

```
SEQ ID NO:10
```

```
Query Match
                  95.0%; Score 853; DB 1; Length 217;
 Best Local Similarity 87.4%; Pred. No. 2.2e-71;
 Matches 167; Conservative
                         4; Mismatches
                                      2; Indels
         1 FPTIPLSRLFDNAMLRARRLYQLAYDTYQEFVSSWVM-----ESIPT 42
           Db
        27 FPTIPLSRLFDNAMLRARRLYQLAYDTYQEFEEAYILKEQKYSFLQNPQTSLCFSESIPT 86
        43 PSNRVKTQQKSNLELLRISLLLIQSWLEPVQLLRSVFANSLVYGASDSNVYRHLKDLEEG 102
Qv
          87 PSNRVKTQQKSNLELLRISLLLIQSWLEPVQLLRSVFANSLVYGASDSNVYRHLKDLEEG 146
Db
       103 IQTLMWRLEDGSPRTGQIFNQSYSKFDTKSHNDDALLKNYGLLYCFRKDMDKVETFLRIV 162
ΟV
           147 IQTLMWRLEDGSPRTGQIFNQSYSKFDTKSHNDDALLKNYGLLYCFRKDMDKVETFLRIV 206
Db
       163 QCRSVEGSCGF 173
Ov
          Db
       207 QCRSVEGSCGF 217
SEQ ID NO:8
Query Match
                  95.6%; Score 982; DB 1; Length 217;
 Best Local Similarity 88.9%; Pred. No. 2.3e-81;
 Matches 193; Conservative
                         4; Mismatches
                                       2; Indels
                                                           1;
         1 MAAGSRTSLLLAFGLLCLSWLQEGSAFPTIPLSRLFDNAMLRARRLYQLAYDTYQEFVSS 60
QУ
          1 MAAGSRTSLLLAFGLLCLSWLQEGSAFPTIPLSRLFDNAMLRARRLYQLAYDTYQEFEEA 60
Db
        61 WVM-----ESIPTPSNRVKTQQKSNLELLRISLLLIQSWLEPVQLLR 102
Οv
           :::
                           Db
        61 YILKEQKYSFLQNPQTSLCFSESIPTPSNRVKTQQKSNLELLRISLLLIQSWLEPVQLLR 120
```

Application/Control Number: 10/539,962 Page 6

Art Unit: 1647

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 68-73 and 79-84 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine J. Saoud whose telephone number is 571-272-0891. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manjunath Rao can be reached on 571-272-0939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,962 Page 7

Art Unit: 1647

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christine J Saoud/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647